FILEIFORMAR 1708/26USIC-ORE

1 2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

2627

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

GERALD A. MCGUIGAN,

O R D E R Civ. No. 04-1867-TC

Plaintiff,

vs.

GUY HALL,

Defendants.

AIKEN, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Coffin filed his Findings and Recommendation on January 4, 2008. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When a party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given the file of this case a <u>de novo</u> review. I ADOPT the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 71) that petitioner's Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (doc. 32)

## 

is denied. This case is dismissed. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this day of March 2008 б United States District Judge 

2 - ORDER